

Service of Process 500 James Robertson Parkway Näshville, Tennessee 37243

FIRST CLASS



02 1M \$ 06.675 0004292626 JAN 11 2017 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 37243

5500 1000\$00591

ERIE, PA 16530-0001

100 ERIE INSURANCE PLACE, % T. CAVANAUG

12/28/16

ՍՄ Ֆրանդանդիկիկիկիկիկիկիկիկիկիկիկիկինունդոնդեն երկույս

STATE OF TENNESSEE Department of Commerce and Insurance 500 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-1131 PH - 615.532.5260, FX - 615.532.2788 Jerald.E.Gilbert@tn.gov

December 28, 2016

Erie Insurance Company 100 Erie Insurance Place, % T. Cavanaugh Erie, PA 16530-0001 NAIC # 26263 Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested 7016 0750 0000 2778 2109 Cashier # 29768

Re: Marcus Love And Danya Love V. Erie Insurance Company

Docket # C-16-355

To Whom It May Concern:

Pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated § 56-2-504 or § 56-2-506, the Department of Commerce and Insurance was served December 22, 2016, on your behalf in connection with the above-styled proceeding. Documentation relating to the subject is herein enclosed.

Jerald E. Gilbert Designated Agent Service of Process

Enclosures

cc: Circuit Court Clerk Madison County 515 South Liberty Jackson, Tn 38301

THE STATE OF TENNESSEE, MADISON COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT

MARCUS LOVE and DANYA LOVE,	NO. C-10-355		
Plaintiffs,			
vs.	Address: DIVI		
ERIE INSURANCE COMPANY,	ERIE INSURANCE COMPANY c/o Commissioner of Insurance 500 James Robertson Parkway		
Defendant.			
COPY	Nashville, TN 37243 PLEASE SERVE THROUGH THE SECRETARY OF STATE		
To the above named Defendant(s):			
You are hereby summoned and req	uired to answer, in writing, the complaint which is		
herewith served upon you, and to serve a	copy of same upon Clint Scott, Gilbert Russell		
McWherter Scott & Bobbitt PLC, who	is Plaintiff's Attorney, whose address is 101 North		
Highland, Jackson, TN 38301, within third	ty (30) days after service of this summons upon you,		
exclusive of the date of service. If you fail	to do so, a judgment by default will be taken against		
you for the relief demanded in the complaint	t.		
Issued this 13th day of D	cember 2016.		
	By: G. LWM Deputy Clerk		
RETURN ON SI	ERVICE OF SUMMONS		
	e day of		
	Sheriff		
	N T		

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MADISON COUNTY, TENNESSEE

MA	RCUS	LO	VE	
and	DANY	ΆL	OVE,	

FILED

Plaintiffs,

DEC 13 2016

v.

Civil Action No. C-	L-355
DEPUTY CLESS OD	P.M.
DEPUTY CLESS OD	P.M.
JURY DEMANDED	DIVIL

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

COME NOW the Plaintiffs, MARCUS LOVE and DANYA LOVE, by and through counsel, and submit the following for their Complaint against ERIE INSURANCE COMPANY:

PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

- 1. Marcus Love and Danya Love (hereafter "the Loves") are citizens of Madison County, Tennessee. At all times relevant hereto, the Loves were the owners of the realty and dwelling located at 43 Woodland Drive, Jackson, Madison County, Tennessee (the "Insured Premises").
- 2. Defendant, Erie Insurance COMPANY (hereafter "Erie"), which is organized in the State of Pennsylvania and has its headquarters in the State of Pennsylvania, is engaged in the insurance business in the State of Tennessee, including Madison County.
- 3. This Complaint originates as the result of a fire that severely damaged the dwelling located on the Insured Premises and the contents located therein, and Erie's unlawful failure and refusal to promptly and fully pay the Loves' insurance claim.

FACTS

- 4. At all times relevant hereto, the Loves were the insureds pursuant to an insurance contract whereby Erie agreed to insure the dwelling, other structures, and personal property located at the Insured Premises against property damage, bearing Policy No. Q60-5401279 (the "Policy"). The Policy's term was December 4, 2014 to December 4, 2015. The Policy is incorporated herein by reference as if set forth verbatim.
- 5. At all times relevant hereto, the Insured Premises consisted of a residential dwelling and surrounding area in which the Love family resided.
- 6. The Policy provided insurance coverage for accidental direct physical loss to the dwelling located on the Insured Premises, as well as coverage for damage to personal property resulting from accidental direct physical losses. The Policy also provided coverage for debris removal, additional living expenses, damage to trees, shrubs, plants, and lawns, and such other insurance coverage as specifically set forth in the Policy.
- 7. The Declarations page of the Policy reveals that coverage was provided in the following amounts:

a. Dwelling \$185,500 with Guaranteed Replacement

Cost

b. Other Structures \$37,100

c. Personal Property \$139,125

d. Additional Living Expenses Loss of Use (up to 12 months)

8. The Policy is an "all-risks" policy, meaning that the Policy covered all risks of accidental direct physical loss except for those specifically excluded by the Policy.

- 9. Pursuant to the Policy, the Loves paid an annual premium to Erie in exchange for insurance coverage. The Loves paid the required premiums at all times relevant to this Complaint.
- 10. On or about May 1, 2015, an accidental fire damaged and/or destroyed the dwelling and personal property located on the Insured Premises (the "Loss").
- 11. The Policy was in effect at the time of the Loss, and the Loss is compensable under the terms of the Policy. As it relates to the Loss, there is no applicable exclusion.
 - 12. The Loves promptly reported the Loss to Erie.
- 13. The Loves fulfilled all of the duties after the Loss that were imposed upon them by the Policy.
- 14. Erie acknowledged the Loss is a compensable claim and made certain payments on the claim, but has refused to pay the entirety of the Loves' claim.
- 15. Despite the fact that the Loves fulfilled all duties imposed upon them by the Policy and are at no fault in this matter, Erie wrongfully failed and/or refused to fully and promptly pay the Loves' claim for insurance proceeds.
- 16. Erie has paid to repair a portion of the Insured Premises, but has failed to pay to repair all damage to the Insured Premises that resulted from the Loss.
- 17. Erie has paid for some of the personal property of the Loves, but has failed to pay for all personal property that was damaged as a result of the Loss.
- 18. Erie has paid some additional living expenses, but has failed to pay all additional living expenses incurred by the Loves as a result of the Loss.
 - 19. On or about February 6, 2016, the Loves submitted a proof of loss to Erie.

20. On October 11, 2016, Erie wrote the Loves accusing them of making material misrepresentations in the presentment of their claim, claiming that they had violated the terms of the Policy, and that the Policy was void, and denying the claim of the Loves to the benefits rightfully due and owing to them under the Policy.

CAUSES OF ACTION

Breach of Contract

- 21. The allegations contained in the paragraphs above and below in this Complaint are incorporated herein by reference as if set forth verbatim.
- 22. The Policy issued by Erie was a binding contract, and is supported by valid consideration.
- 23. Erie is in total material breach of the Policy and is liable to the Loves in the maximum amount allowed by the Policy for the Loss. Specifically, Erie's breach of contract includes the following without limitation: (a) failure and refusal to pay the amounts owed to the Loves for the damage to the dwelling under the "Dwelling" coverage afforded by the Policy; (b) failure and refusal to pay all amounts owed to the Loves for the damage to their personal property under the "Personal Property" coverage afforded by the Policy; and (c) failure and refusal to pay such other amounts to the Loves as may be required by the Policy.
- 24. As a result of Erie's breach of contract, the Loves have sustained substantial compensable losses for the amounts claimed under the Policy, including but not limited to the replacement cost of the damage to the dwelling, replacement cost of the damaged personal property, and additional living expenses, plus consequential damages.
 - 25. Erie is liable to the Loves for their losses.

26. Erie's breach of contract was intentional, fraudulent, malicious, and/or reckless, therefore justifying an award of punitive damages. See, e.g., Riad v. Erie Ins. Exchange, 436 S.W.3d 256, 276 (Tenn. Ct. App. 2013). Specifically, Erie intentionally, fraudulently, maliciously, and/or recklessly: (1) failed to effectuate a prompt and fair settlement of the Loves' claim when liability was reasonably clear; (2) refused and failed to conduct a reasonable, prompt, and fair investigation concerning the issues surrounding the Loves' claim for insurance proceeds; (3) unjustly refused and/or failed to pay the Loves' claim for its own financial preservation with no reasonable or justifiable basis; (4) failed to treat the Loves' interests with equal regard to its own; (5) promised prompt action and claim-handling but then failed to provide reasonable payment to compensate the Loves' for their insured losses; (6) failed and refused to pay the Loves' valid claim; (7) unnecessarily delayed payment of the Loves' insured losses; (8) misrepresented relevant facts and policy provisions to the Loves; (9) failed to adopt and implement reasonable standards for the prompt investigation and settlement of claims; (10) forced the Loves to file suit in order to enforce their rights under the Policy; (11) offered the Loves substantially less than the amounts due the Loves; (12) failed to affirm or deny coverage within a reasonable time; (13) ignored valid portions of the Loves' claim for no good reason and with no explanation; (14) accused the Loves of making material misrepresentations with regard to personal property that Erie and/or its agents never bothered to inspect; (15) lied to the Loves regarding matters pertinent to their claim; and (16) such other facts and circumstances as alleged in this Lawsuit and/or to be shown at trial. Erie knew, or reasonably should have known, that the Loves were justifiably relying on the money and benefits due them under the terms of the Policy. Nevertheless, acting with conscious disregard for the Loves' rights and with the intention of causing or willfully disregarding the probability of causing unjust and cruel hardship on the

Loves, Erie consciously ignored the Loves' valid claim and then denied the Loves' claim and withheld monies and benefits rightfully due the Loves. The Loves seek, and are entitled to, punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, as a result of the foregoing, the Loves would respectfully request that this Honorable Court award a judgment against Erie as follows:

- A. For compensatory damages not to exceed \$300,000.00;
- B. For punitive damages against Erie not to exceed \$3,000,000.00;
- C. For all costs incurred by the Loves as a result of this action;
- D. For pre and post-judgment interest; and
- E. For such other further and general relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

JURY DEMAND

The Loves demand a jury of their peers.

Respectfully submitted,

GILBERT RUSSELL McWHERTER SCOTT & BOBBITT PLC

CLINFON H. SCOTT #23008

cscott@gilbertfirm.com

101 N. Highland Ave.

Jackson, Tennessee 38301 Telephone: (731) 664-1340

Facsimile: (731) 664-1540

ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS

COST BOND

This Firm stands as surety for costs in this matter.